arXiv:1210.7404v2 [math.NA] 31 Oct 2012

A sharp bound on the convergence rate of an aggregation-based algebraic multi-grid method applied to a 1D model problem

Daeshik Choi

November 2, 2012

University of Washington, Mathematics Dept., Box 354350, Seattle, WA 98195

1 Introduction

We consider the linear system $Ax = b$ arising from one-dimensional Poisson's equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions, where A is the $N \times N$ matrix $\begin{bmatrix}-1 & 2 & -1\end{bmatrix}$. The authors in [2] deal with the problem, using a pairwise aggregation-based algebraic 2-grid method. They show that the method reduces the A-norm of the error at each step by at least the factor $\sqrt{5/8}$, indicating numerical computations that the actual reduction factor is $1/\sqrt{2}$. In this paper, using some properties of centrosymmetric matrices, we show that the factor $1/\sqrt{2}$ is theoretically correct.

2 Analysis of the A-norm of the error

Notations. For any positive definite symmetric matrix A, the A-norm is defined as $||x||_A = ||A^{1/2}x||$, where $||\cdot||$ is the 2-norm. We denote by $\langle x, y\rangle$ the inner product $\sum x_j y_j$ of x and y.

Let A be the $N \times N$ matrix $\begin{bmatrix}-1 & 2 & -1\end{bmatrix}$, where N is an even integer. Then, the eigenvalues and orthonormal eigenvectors of A are:

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_k &= 2 - 2\cos\frac{k\pi}{N+1}, \quad k = 1, \dots, N \\ q_j^{(k)} &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{N+1}}\sin\frac{jk\pi}{N+1}, \quad j, k = 1, \dots, N\end{aligned}$$

Let $\Lambda = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)$ and $\mathcal{S}$ is the space spanned by the vectors

$$\Lambda^{-1/2}(q^{(1)} - q^{(2)}), \Lambda^{-1/2}(q^{(2)} - q^{(3)}), \dots, \Lambda^{-1/2}(q^{(N-1)} - q^{(N)}).$$

The authors in [2] show that using the relaxation step by a damped Jacobi iteration with damping factor of 2, the A-norm of the error $e_j = x - x_j$ at step j satisfies $||e_{j+1}||_A \leq \sigma ||e_j||_A$, where $\sigma = \max_{\substack{||z||=1 \\ z \in \mathcal{S}}} ||(I - \frac{1}{4}\Lambda)z||$ for either post-smoothing only or pre-smoothing only and $\sigma = \max_{\substack{||z||=1 \\ z \in \mathcal{S}}} ||(I - \frac{1}{4}\Lambda)^2 z||$ for pre- and post-smoothing (I is the identity matrix as usual). In this paper, we will show that

$$\sigma^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \tag{1}$$

First consider either post-smoothing only or pre-smoothing only. We will use the following three lemmas to prove (1) in Theorem 4.

Lemma 1. *Let* $x_k = k\pi/(N+1)$.

1. For any integer n,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} \cos(nx_k) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ N, & \text{if } n \text{ is a multiple of } 2(N+1), \\ -1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

2. For any integers l and m,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\sin(2l-1)x_k - \sin(2lx_k))(\sin(2m-1)x_k - \sin(2mx_k)) \\ = \; & (1 - \cos x_k)\left[\cos((2l+2m-1)x_k) + \cos(2(l-m)x_k)\right] \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

3. For any integer n,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} (1 - \cos x_k)^2 \cos(nx_k) = \begin{cases} (3N-1)/2, & \text{if } n = 0 \\ -N+1, & \text{if } n = 1 \\ (N-7)/4, & \text{if } n = 2 \\ 2(-1)^{n+1}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

Proof. Since $\cos(nx_{N+1-k}) = \cos(n\pi - x_k) = (-1)^n \cos(x_k)$ for any k,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} \cos(nx_k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ 2\sum_{k=1}^{N/2} \cos(nx_k) & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \end{cases}.$$

If n is a multiple of $2(N+1)$, then $\cos(nx_k) = 1$ for any k and thus $\sum_{k=1}^{N} \cos(nx_k) = N$. If n is an even integer, not being a multiple of $2(N+1)$, then using the known formula

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} \cos(k\theta) = \frac{-1}{2} + \frac{\sin(N + \frac{1}{2})\theta}{2 \sin \frac{1}{2}\theta},$$

we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N/2} \cos(nx_k) = \frac{-1}{2} + \frac{\sin(N+1)\frac{n\pi}{2(N+1)}}{2\sin\frac{n\pi}{2(N+1)}} = \frac{-1}{2}$$

and thus $\sum_{k=1}^{N} \cos(nx_k) = -1$.

The remaining two results can be easily shown using the following basic trigonometric identities:

$$\begin{aligned} 2\sin A \sin B &= \cos(A-B) - \cos(A+B) \\ \cos A + \cos B &= 2\cos\frac{A+B}{2}\cos\frac{A-B}{2} \\ 2\cos^2 x &= 1 + \cos(2x) \\ 4\cos^3 x &= \cos(3x) + 3\cos x \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2. *For $l, m = 1, \ldots, N/2$, we have*

$$\langle \Lambda^{-1/2}(q^{(2l-1)} - q^{(2l)}),\, \Lambda^{-1/2}(q^{(2m-1)} - q^{(2m)}) \rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{N}{N+1}, & \textit{if } l = m, \\ \frac{-1}{N+1} & \textit{if } l \neq m \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

(See also [2]) and

$$\langle \Lambda^{1/2}(q^{(2l-1)} - q^{(2l)}),\, \Lambda^{1/2}(q^{(2m-1)} - q^{(2m)}) \rangle = \begin{cases} 6, & \textit{if } l = m, \\ 1, & \textit{if } l - m = \pm 1, \\ 0, & \textit{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

Proof. Let $x_k = \frac{k\pi}{N+1}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \Lambda^{-1/2}(q^{(2l-1)} - q^{(2l)}),\, \Lambda^{-1/2}(q^{(2m-1)} - q^{(2m)}) \rangle \\ = & \sum_{k=1}^{N} \lambda_k^{-1/2}(q_k^{(2l-1)} - q_k^{(2l)}) \cdot \lambda_k^{-1/2}(q_k^{(2m-1)} - q_k^{(2m)}) \\ = & \frac{1}{N+1}\sum_{k=1}^{N} \cos((2l+2m-1)x_k) + \frac{1}{N+1}\sum_{k=1}^{N} \cos(2(l-m)x_k), \text{ by (3).} \end{aligned}$$

By (2), the first sum in the right hand side is 0 for any l, m; meanwhile, $\sum_{k=1}^{N} \cos(2(l-m)x_k) = \begin{cases} N, & \text{if } l = m, \\ -1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$. Thus the result (5) follows.

Similarly, using (3),

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \Lambda^{1/2}(q^{(2l-1)} - q^{(2l)}),\, \Lambda^{1/2}(q^{(2m-1)} - q^{(2m)}) \rangle \\ = & \sum_{k=1}^{N} \lambda_k^{1/2}(q_k^{(2l-1)} - q_k^{(2l)}) \cdot \lambda_k^{1/2}(q_k^{(2m-1)} - q_k^{(2m)}) \\ = & \frac{4}{N+1}\sum_{k=1}^{N} (1 - \cos x_k)^2 \left[\cos((2l+2m-1)x_k) + \cos(2(l-m)x_k)\right] \end{aligned}$$

and the result (6) follows from (4). □

The inequality (1) for either pre-smoothing only or post-smoothing only is equivalent to $||(I - \frac{1}{4}\Lambda)z||^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}$ for any z such that $||z|| = 1$ and $z \in \mathcal{S}$. Letting $L = N/2$ and $z = \sum_{l=1}^{L} c_l \Lambda^{-1/2}(q^{(2l-1)} - q^{(2l)})$, we will describe the inequality $||(I - \frac{1}{4}\Lambda)z||^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and the constraint $||z|| = 1$ with respect to c_l's in the following lemma.

Lemma 3. *For* $z = \sum_{l=1}^{L} c_l \Lambda^{-1/2}(q^{(2l-1)} - q^{(2l)})$*, the constraint* $||z|| = 1$ *is equivalent to*

$$\sum_{l=1}^{L} c_l^2 = 1 + \frac{1}{2L} + \frac{1}{L} \sum_{1 \leq l < m \leq L} c_l c_m \tag{7}$$

and the inequality $||(I - \frac{1}{4}\Lambda)z||^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}$ *is equivalent to*

$$\sum_{l=1}^{L} c_l^2 - \frac{1}{5} \sum_{l=2}^{L} c_{l-1} c_l \geq \frac{4}{5}. \tag{8}$$

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned} ||z||^2 &= \sum_{l,m} c_l c_m \langle \Lambda^{-1/2}(q^{(2l-1)} - q^{(2l)}), \Lambda^{-1/2}(q^{(2m-1)} - q^{(2m)}) \rangle \\ &= \frac{2L}{2L+1} \sum_{l=1}^{L} c_l^2 - \frac{2}{2L+1} \sum_{1 \leq l < m \leq L} c_l c_m \end{aligned}$$

by (5), the constraint $||z|| = 1$ is equivalent to (7). Meanwhile, since

$$\langle z, \Lambda z \rangle = \sum_{l,m} c_l c_m \langle (q^{(2l-1)} - q^{(2l)}), (q^{(2m-1)} - q^{(2m)}) \rangle = 2 \sum_{l=1}^{L} c_l^2$$

by the orthonormal property of the vectors $q^{(k)}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} ||\Lambda z||^2 &= \sum_{l,m} c_l c_m \langle \Lambda^{1/2}(q^{(2l-1)} - q^{(2l)}), \Lambda^{1/2}(q^{(2m-1)} - q^{(2m)}) \rangle \\ &= 6 \sum_{l=1}^{L} c_l^2 + \sum_{l-m=\pm 1} c_l c_m \end{aligned}$$

by (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} ||(I - \frac{1}{4}\Lambda)z||^2 &= 1 - \frac{1}{2}\langle z, \Lambda z \rangle + \frac{1}{16}||\Lambda z||^2 \\ &= 1 - \frac{5}{8} \sum_{l=1}^{L} c_l^2 + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{l=2}^{L} c_{l-1} c_l \end{aligned}$$

and thus the inequality $||(I - \frac{1}{4}\Lambda)z||^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}$ is equivalent to (8). □

Theorem 4. *The inequality (8) subject to the constraint (7) holds for any positive integer L.*

Proof. Define two functions $f(c)$ and $g(c)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} f(c) &= \sum_{l=1}^{L} c_l^2 - \frac{1}{5}\sum_{l=2}^{L} c_{l-1}c_l, \\ g(c) &= \sum_{l=1}^{L} c_l^2 - 1 - \frac{1}{2L} - \frac{1}{L}\sum_{1\le l<m\le L} c_l c_m. \end{aligned}$$

We will use the method of Lagrange multipliers to show that $f(c) \geq \frac{4}{5}$ subject to the condition $g(c) = 0$. The constrained minimum occurs at points c such that $\nabla_c f + \lambda \nabla_c g = 0$ for some λ. The equation can be expressed by

$$(2I - \frac{1}{5}R + \lambda(2I - \frac{1}{L}S))c = 0$$

or equivalently

$$(\frac{1}{5}R + \frac{\lambda}{L}S)c = 2(1+\lambda)c,$$

where

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ 1 & 0 & \ddots & \\ & \ddots & 0 & 1 \\ & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \ddots & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A matrix X is called centrosymmetric if $XJ = JX$, where J is the square matrix with 1 on the counterdiagonal and 0 elsewhere. Since two matrices R and J are symmetric and centrosymmetric, the equation $(\frac{1}{5}R + \frac{\lambda}{L}S)c = 2(1+\lambda)c$ implies that c is an eigenvector of the symmetric centrosymmetric matrix $\frac{1}{5}R + \frac{\lambda}{L}S$. According to [1], such a vector c is either symmetric (that is, $c_{L+1-k} = c_k$ for all $k = 1, \ldots, L/2$) or skew symmetric (that is, $c_{L+1-k} = -c_k$ for all $k = 1, \ldots, L/2$).

Case 1: Assume that L is a power of 2. In the ensuing computations, we will use the following results (explicitly or implicitly): for any even integer M,

$$\sum_{1\le l<m\le M} c_l c_m = \begin{cases} -\sum_{l=1}^{M/2} c_l^2, & \text{when } c \text{ is skew symmetric} \\ \sum_{l=1}^{M/2} c_l^2 + 4\sum_{1\le l<m\le M/2} c_l c_m, & \text{when } c \text{ is symmetric} \end{cases}$$

When c is skew symmetric, the constraint $g(c) = 0$ is equivalent to $\sum_{l=1}^{L/2} c_l^2 = \frac{1}{2}$ and the inequality $f(c) \geq \frac{4}{5}$ is equivalent to $2\sum_{l=2}^{L/2} c_{l-1}c_l - c_{L/2}^2 \leq 1$. Since

$$2\sum_{l=2}^{L/2} c_{l-1}c_l - c_{L/2}^2 \leq c_1^2 + 2\sum_{l=2}^{L/2-1} c_l^2 \leq 2\sum_{l=1}^{L/2} c_l^2,$$

the inequality $f(c) \geq \frac{4}{5}$ is true for any c such that $g(c) = 0$. Meanwhile, when c is symmetric, the constraint $g(c) = 0$ is equivalent to

$$(L - \frac{1}{2}) \sum_{l=1}^{L/2} c_l^2 = \frac{2L+1}{2^2} + 2 \sum_{1 \leq l < m \leq L/2} c_l c_m. \tag{9}$$

Using the identity $\sum_{l=2}^{L} c_{l-1} c_l = c_{L/2}^2 + 2 \sum_{l=2}^{L/2} c_{l-1} c_l$, we can show that the inequality $f(c) \geq \frac{4}{5}$ is expressed by

$$2 \sum_{l=1}^{L/2} c_l^2 - \frac{1}{5} \left(c_{L/2}^2 + 2 \sum_{l=2}^{L/2} c_{l-1} c_l \right) \geq \frac{4}{5}.$$

Moreover, since

$$2 \sum_{l=1}^{L/2} c_l^2 - \frac{1}{5} \left(c_{L/2}^2 + 2 \sum_{l=2}^{L/2} c_{l-1} c_l \right) \geq 2 \sum_{l=1}^{L/2} c_l^2 - \frac{2}{5} \sum_{l=1}^{L/2} c_l^2 = \frac{8}{5} \sum_{l=1}^{L/2} c_l^2,$$

it is enough to show that $\sum_{l=1}^{L/2} c_l^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}$ subject to the constraint (9). Now we have another minimization problem with constraints. Using the method of Lagrange multipliers and the results in [1] again, the vector c of size $L/2$ is either symmetric or skew symmetric. When c is skew symmetric, the constraint (9) is equivalent to $\sum_{l=1}^{L/2^2} c_l^2 = \frac{1}{2^2}$ and the inequality $\sum_{l=1}^{L/2} c_l^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}$ is just $\sum_{l=1}^{L/2^2} c_l^2 \geq \frac{1}{2^2}$. Thus, the inequality subject to the constraint is clear. In the case that c is symmetric, we need to show $\sum_{l=1}^{L/2^2} c_l^2 \geq \frac{1}{2^2}$ when c satisfies that

$$(L - \frac{3}{2}) \sum_{l=1}^{L/2^2} c_l^2 = \frac{2L+1}{2^3} + 2^2 \sum_{1 \leq l < m \leq L/2^2} c_l c_m.$$

We can repeat this process. That is, assume that we want to show the inequality

$$\sum_{l=1}^{L/2^n} c_l^2 \geq \frac{1}{2^n} \tag{10}$$

subject to the constraint

$$(L - \frac{2^n - 1}{2}) \sum_{l=1}^{L/2^n} c_l^2 = \frac{2L+1}{2^{n+1}} + 2^n \sum_{1 \leq l < m \leq L/2^n} c_l c_m. \tag{11}$$

For a skew symmetric c, the constraint is $\sum_{l=1}^{L/2^{n+1}} c_l^2 = \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$ and thus the inequality $\sum_{l=1}^{L/2^n} c_l^2 \geq \frac{1}{2^n}$ is clear. For a symmetric c, the constraint above is equivalent to

$$(L - \frac{2^{n+1} - 1}{2}) \sum_{l=1}^{L/2^{n+1}} c_l^2 = \frac{2L+1}{2^{n+2}} + 2^{n+1} \sum_{1 \leq l < m \leq L/2^{n+1}} c_l c_m$$

and the inequality $\sum_{l=1}^{L/2^n} c_l^2 \geq \frac{1}{2^n}$ is just $\sum_{l=1}^{L/2^{n+1}} c_l^2 \geq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$. Consequently, by induction on n, it is enough to find a positive integer n such that the inequality (10) holds for all c satisfying (11). Let $L = 2^p$. Then, when $n = p$, the inequality (10) is equivalent to $2^p c_1^2 \geq 1$ and the constraint (11) is expressed by

$$(2^p - \frac{2^p - 1}{2})c_1^2 = 1 + \frac{1}{2^{p+1}}.$$

The inequality subject to the constraint $2^p c_1^2 \geq 1$ is clear by the following argument:

$$2^p c_1^2 = \frac{2^p - 1}{2} c_1^2 + 1 + \frac{1}{2^{p+1}} \geq 1.$$

Case 2: Assuming that L is an odd integer, we will show that $f(c) \geq \frac{4}{5}$ subject to the condition $g(c) = 0$, where f, g are defined in the beginning of this proof. Let $L = 2u + 1$. In the case that c is skew symmetric, we have $\sum_{1 \leq l < m \leq L} c_l c_m = -\sum_{l=1}^{u} c_l^2$ and thus the constraint $g(c) = 0$ is equivalent to $\sum_{l=1}^{u} c_l^2 = \frac{1}{2}$. Moreover, since $\sum_{l=2}^{L} c_{l-1} c_l = 2\sum_{l=2}^{u} c_{l-1} c_l$, the inequality $f(c) \geq \frac{4}{5}$ is expressed by $2\sum_{l=2}^{u} c_{l-1} c_l \leq 1$, which is clear on the constraint. Now consider the symmetric case. In this case, using the following identities

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{1 \leq l < m \leq L} c_l c_m &= \sum_{l=1}^{u} c_l^2 + 4 \sum_{1 \leq l < m \leq u} c_l c_m + 2c_{u+1} \sum_{l=1}^{u} c_l, \\ \sum_{l=2}^{L} c_{l-1} c_l &= 2 \sum_{l=2}^{u+1} c_{l-1} c_l,\end{aligned}$$

we can show that the inequality $f(c) \geq \frac{4}{5}$ is equivalent to

$$2 \sum_{l=1}^{u} c_l^2 + c_{u+1}^2 - \frac{2}{5} \sum_{l=2}^{u+1} c_{l-1} c_l \geq \frac{4}{5}.$$

Moreover, by the following argument

$$\begin{aligned}& 2 \sum_{l=1}^{u} c_l^2 + c_{u+1}^2 - \frac{2}{5} \sum_{l=2}^{u+1} c_{l-1} c_l \\ \geq\ & 2 \sum_{l=1}^{u} c_l^2 + c_{u+1}^2 - \frac{1}{5}(c_{u+1}^2 + 2 \sum_{l=1}^{u} c_l^2) \\ =\ & \frac{8}{5} \sum_{l=1}^{u} c_l^2 + \frac{4}{5} c_{u+1}^2,\end{aligned}$$

it is enough to show that

$$\sum_{l=1}^{u} c_l^2 + \frac{1}{2} c_{u+1}^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \tag{12}$$

subject to the constraint $g(c) = 0$ which is equivalent to

$$(2 - \frac{1}{L}) \sum_{l=1}^{u} c_l^2 + c_{u+1}^2 = 1 + \frac{1}{2L} + \frac{4}{L} \sum_{1 \le l < m \le u} c_l c_m + \frac{2}{L} c_{u+1} \sum_{l=1}^{u} c_l. \tag{13}$$

Let $s = \sum_{l=1}^{u} c_l$ and $r = \sum_{l=1}^{u} c_l^2$. Then, the constraint (13) can be expressed by $c_{u+1}^2 - 2pc_{u+1} + q = 0$, where $p = \frac{1}{L}s$ and $q = \frac{2L+1}{L}(r + \frac{1}{2}) - \frac{2}{L}s^2$. Since $p \pm \sqrt{p^2 - q}$ are the roots of the quadratic equation above, we have $c_{u+1}^2 = 2p^2 - q \pm 2p\sqrt{p^2 - q}$. Therefore, the inequality (12) is equivalent to

$$r + p^2 - \frac{1}{2}q \pm p\sqrt{p^2 - q} \ge \frac{1}{2},$$

where $p^2 - q \ge 0$. Plugging $p = \frac{1}{L}s$ and $q = \frac{2L+1}{L}(r + \frac{1}{2}) - \frac{2}{L}s^2$, the inequality above is expressed by

$$(1 + \frac{1}{2L})s^2 + \frac{s^2}{2L} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{r}{2} \ge 2\sqrt{(1 + \frac{1}{2L})s^2}\sqrt{\frac{s^2}{2L} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{r}{2}}.$$

Since the inequality is true by the relationship between arithmetic mean and geometric mean, the case that L is odd is solved.

Case 3: Finally, we consider the case $L = 2^p q$, where $q > 1$ is odd. Substituting $n = p$ in (10) and (11), it is enough to show that

$$\sum_{l=1}^{q} c_l^2 \ge \frac{1}{2^p} \tag{14}$$

when

$$(2^p q - \frac{2^p - 1}{2}) \sum_{l=1}^{q} c_l^2 = q + \frac{1}{2^{p+1}} + 2^p \sum_{1 \le l < m \le q} c_l c_m. \tag{15}$$

Let $q = 2r + 1$. When c is skew symmetric, (14) is $2\sum_{l=1}^{r} c_l^2 \ge \frac{1}{2^p}$ and (15) is $\sum_{l=1}^{r} c_l^2 = \frac{1}{2^{p+1}}$. Thus the inequality is clear. Meanwhile, if c is symmetric, then (14) is

$$\sum_{l=1}^{r} c_l^2 + \frac{1}{2}c_{r+1}^2 \ge \frac{1}{2^{p+1}} \tag{16}$$

and (15) is

$$\begin{aligned} &(2^{p+1}q - 2^{p+1} + 1) \sum_{l=1}^{r} c_l^2 + \frac{2^{p+1}q - 2^p + 1}{2} c_{r+1}^2 \\ = \;& q + \frac{1}{2^{p+1}} + 2^{p+2} \sum_{1 \le l < m \le r} c_l c_m + 2^{p+1} c_{r+1} \sum_{l=1}^{r} c_l. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Let $\xi = \sum_{l=1}^{r} c_l$ and $\zeta = \sum_{l=1}^{r} c_l^2$. Then, the constraint can be expressed by $c_{r+1}^2 - 2\alpha c_{r+1} + \beta = 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha &= \frac{2^{p+1}}{2L - 2^p + 1}\xi, \\
\beta &= \frac{4L+2}{2L - 2^p + 1}\zeta - \frac{2L+1}{2^p(2L - 2^p + 1)} - \frac{2^{p+2}}{2L - 2^p + 1}\xi^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Plugging $c_{r+1}^2 = 2\alpha^2 - \beta \pm 2\alpha\sqrt{\alpha^2 - \beta}$, we can show that the inequality (16) is expressed by

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2^{2p+1}\xi^2 + 2^{p+1}(2L+1)\xi^2 + 2^p(2L - 2^p + 1)(\frac{1}{2^{p+1}} - \zeta) \\
\geq\ & 2\sqrt{2^{2p+1}\xi^2 \left[2^{p+1}(2L+1)\xi^2 + 2^p(2L - 2^p + 1)(\frac{1}{2^{p+1}} - \zeta) + (2L - 2^p + 1)^2(\frac{1}{2^{p+1}} - \zeta)\right]}
\end{aligned}$$

Let $X = 2^{2p+1}\xi^2$, $Y = 2^{p+1}(2L+1)\xi^2 + 2^p(2L - 2^p + 1)(\frac{1}{2^{p+1}} - \zeta)$, and $Z = (2L - 2^p + 1)^2(\frac{1}{2^{p+1}} - \zeta)$. Then the above inequality is expressed by $X + Y \geq 2\sqrt{X(Y+Z)}$. If $\zeta \geq \frac{1}{2^{p+1}}$, then the inequality (16) is clear. Thus, we may assume that $\frac{1}{2^{p+1}} - \zeta > 0$. In this case, all of X, Y, and Z are nonnegative. The following argument shows that the desired inequality $X + Y \geq 2\sqrt{X(Y+Z)}$ holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
& X + Y \geq 2\sqrt{X(Y+Z)} \\
\Longleftrightarrow\ & (X - Y)^2 \geq 4XZ \\
\Longleftrightarrow\ & \left[2\xi^2 + (\frac{1}{2^{p+1}} - \zeta)\right]^2 \geq 8\xi^2 \cdot (\frac{1}{2^{p+1}} - \zeta) \\
\Longleftrightarrow\ & (2\xi^2 - (\frac{1}{2^{p+1}} - \zeta))^2 \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Now we consider pre- and post-smoothing.

Lemma 5. *Let $x_k = k\pi/(N+1)$.*

1. Let n be a nonnegative integer less than $2N$. If n is odd, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} (1 - \cos x_k)^3 \cos(nx_k) = \begin{cases} \frac{-15N+17}{8}, & \text{if } n = 1, \\ \frac{-N+31}{8}, & \text{if } n = 3 \text{ or } n = 2N-1 \\ 4 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

   and if n is even, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} (1 - \cos x_k)^3 \cos(nx_k) = \begin{cases} \frac{5N-3}{2}, & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \frac{3N-13}{4}, & \text{if } n = 2, \\ -4, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

2. If n is a positive odd integer less than $2N$, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N}(1-\cos x_k)^4\cos(nx_k) = \begin{cases} \frac{-7N+9}{2}, & \text{if } n=1 \\ \frac{-N+15}{2}, & \text{if } n=3 \text{ or } n=2N-1 \\ 8 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and if n is a nonnegative even integer less than $2(N-1)$, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N}(1-\cos x_k)^4\cos(nx_k) = \begin{cases} \frac{35N-29}{8}, & \text{if } n=0 \\ \frac{7N-25}{4}, & \text{if } n=2 \\ \frac{N-127}{16}, & \text{if } n=4 \\ -8, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof. A simple computation using the trigonometric identities $2\cos^2 x = 1 + \cos(2x)$, $4\cos^3 x = \cos(3x) + 3\cos x$, $8\cos^4 x = 3 + 4\cos(2x) + \cos(4x)$, and $2\cos A\cos B = \cos(A-B) + \cos(A+B)$ shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-\cos x_k)^3\cos(nx_k) \\ = \; & \frac{5}{2}\cos(nx_k) - \frac{15}{8}\left(\cos(n-1)x_k + \cos(n+1)x_k\right) \\ & + \frac{3}{4}\left(\cos(n-2)x_k + \cos(n+2)x_k\right) - \frac{1}{8}\left(\cos(n-3)x_k + \cos(n+3)x_k\right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-\cos x_k)^4\cos(nx_k) \\ = \; & \frac{35}{8}\cos(nx_k) - \frac{7}{2}\left(\cos(n-1)x_k + \cos(n+1)x_k\right) + \frac{7}{4}\left(\cos(n-2)x_k + \cos(n+2)x_k\right) \\ & - \frac{1}{2}\left(\cos(n-3)x_k + \cos(n+3)x_k\right) + \frac{1}{16}\left(\cos(n-4)x_k + \cos(n+4)x_k\right). \end{aligned}$$

Then, the results follows from (2). $\square$

Lemma 6. *For $l, m = 1, \ldots, N/2$, we have*

$$\langle \Lambda(q^{(2l-1)} - q^{(2l)}), \Lambda(q^{(2m-1)} - q^{(2m)})\rangle = \begin{cases} 19, & \textit{if } l=m=1 \textit{ or, } l=m=N/2 \\ 20, & \textit{if } 1<l=m<N/2 \\ 6, & \textit{if } l-m=\pm 1 \\ 0, & \textit{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\langle \Lambda^{3/2}(q^{(2l-1)} - q^{(2l)}), \Lambda^{3/2}(q^{(2m-1)} - q^{(2m)})\rangle = \begin{cases} 62, & \textit{if } l=m=1 \textit{ or, } l=m=L \\ 70, & \textit{if } 1<l=m<L \\ 28, & \textit{if } l-m=\pm 1, \\ 1, & \textit{if } l-m=\pm 2, \\ 0 & \textit{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $x_k = k\pi/(N+1)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \Lambda(q^{(2l-1)} - q^{(2l)}), \Lambda(q^{(2m-1)} - q^{(2m)})\rangle \\
= &\sum_{k=1}^{N} \lambda_k(q_k^{(2l-1)} - q_k^{(2l)}) \cdot \lambda_k(q_k^{(2m-1)} - q_k^{(2m)}) \\
= &\frac{8}{N+1}(1-\cos x_k)^3 \left[\cos(2(l-m)x_k) + \cos((2l+2m-1)x_k)\right] \text{ by (3)}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \Lambda^{3/2}(q^{(2l-1)} - q^{(2l)}), \Lambda^{3/2}(q^{(2m-1)} - q^{(2m)})\rangle \\
= &\sum_{k=1}^{N} \lambda_k^{3/2}(q_k^{(2l-1)} - q_k^{(2l)}) \cdot \lambda_k^{3/2}(q_k^{(2m-1)} - q_k^{(2m)}) \\
= &\frac{16}{N+1}(1-\cos x_k)^4 \left[\cos(2(l-m)x_k) + \cos((2l+2m-1)x_k)\right] \text{ by (3)}
\end{aligned}$$

The results follows from the lemma above. □

For pre- and post-smoothing, the inequality (1) is to show that $||(I - \frac{1}{4}\Lambda)^2 z||^2 \le \frac{1}{2}$ for any z such that $||z|| = 1$ and $z \in \mathcal{S}$. Letting $L = N/2$ and $z = \sum_{l=1}^{L} c_l \Lambda^{-1/2}(q^{(2l-1)} - q^{(2l)})$, we describe the inequality with respect to c_l's:

Lemma 7. *For $z = \sum_{l=1}^{L} c_l \Lambda^{-1/2}(q^{(2l-1)} - q^{(2l)})$ with $||z|| = 1$, the inequality $||(I - \frac{1}{4}\Lambda)^2 z||^2 \le \frac{1}{2}$ is equivalent to*

$$93\sum_{l=1}^{L} c_l^2 - 4(c_1^2 + c_L^2) - 28\sum_{l=2}^{L} c_{l-1}c_l - \sum_{l=3}^{L} c_{l-2}c_l \ge 64. \tag{18}$$

Proof. Since $||z|| = 1$,

$$||(I - \frac{1}{4}\Lambda)^2 z||^2 = 1 + \frac{3}{8}||\Lambda z||^2 - \langle z, \Lambda z\rangle - \frac{1}{16}\langle \Lambda z, \Lambda^2 z\rangle + \frac{1}{256}||\Lambda^2 z||^2.$$

From the proof of Lemma 3, we know that$\langle z, \Lambda z\rangle = 2\sum_{l=1}^{L} c_l^2$ and $||\Lambda z||^2 = 6\sum_{l=1}^{L} c_l^2 + \sum_{l-m=\pm 1} c_l c_m$. Moreover, by the lemma above, we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Lambda z, \Lambda^2 z\rangle &= \sum_{l,m} c_l c_m \langle \Lambda^{1/2}(q^{(2l-1)} - q^{(2l)}), \Lambda^{3/2}(q^{(2m-1)} - q^{(2m)})\rangle \\
&= \sum_{l,m} c_l c_m \langle \Lambda(q^{(2l-1)} - q^{(2l)}), \Lambda(q^{(2m-1)} - q^{(2m)})\rangle \\
&= -(c_1^2 + c_L^2) + 20\sum_{l=1}^{L} c_l^2 + 12\sum_{l=2}^{L} c_{l-1}c_l
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ||\Lambda^2 z||^2 &= \sum_{l,m} c_l c_m \langle \Lambda^{3/2}(q^{(2l-1)} - q^{(2l)}), \Lambda^{3/2}(q^{(2m-1)} - q^{(2m)}) \rangle \\ &= -8(c_1^2 + c_L^2) + 70 \sum_{l=1}^{L} c_l^2 + 56 \sum_{l=2}^{L} c_{l-1} c_l + 2 \sum_{l=3}^{L} c_{l-2} c_l \end{aligned}$$

Using the identities above,

$$\begin{aligned} & ||(I - \frac{1}{4}\Lambda)^2 z||^2 \\ = \quad & 1 - \frac{93}{128} \sum_{l=1}^{L} c_l^2 + \frac{1}{32}(c_1^2 + c_L^2) + \frac{7}{32} \sum_{l=2}^{L} c_{l-1} c_l + \frac{1}{128} \sum_{l=3}^{L} c_{l-2} c_l \end{aligned}$$

from which (18) follows. □

Note that the condition $||z|| = 1$ is equivalent to (7); that is, $\sum_{l=1}^{L} c_l^2 = 1 + \frac{1}{2L} + \frac{1}{L} \sum_{1 \le l < m \le L} c_l c_m$.

Theorem 8. *The inequality (18) subject to the constraint (7) holds for any positive integer L.*

Proof. Since $\nabla_c \left(\sum_{l=2}^{L} c_{l-1} c_l \right) = Rc$ and $\nabla_c \left(\sum_{l=3}^{L} c_{l-2} c_l \right) = R'c$, where $R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ 1 & 0 & \ddots & \\ & \ddots & 0 & 1 \\ & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $R' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & & \\ 1 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ & & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ (1's are on the second sub- and super-diagonal), the method of Lagrange multipliers shows that c is an eigenvector of a symmetric and centrosymmetric matrix. Thus, c is either skew-symmetric or symmetric.

Case 1: Assume that L is a power of 2. In the following computations, we use the results below (explicitly or implicitly):

$$\sum_{1 \le l < m \le L} c_l c_m = \begin{cases} -\sum_{l=1}^{L/2} c_l^2, & \text{when } c \text{ is skew symmetric} \\ \sum_{l=1}^{L/2} c_l^2 + 4 \sum_{1 \le l < m \le L/2} c_l c_m, & \text{when } c \text{ is symmetric} \end{cases}$$

$$\sum_{l=2}^{L} c_{l-1} c_l = \begin{cases} 2 \sum_{l=2}^{L/2} c_{l-1} c_l - c_{L/2}^2, & \text{when } c \text{ is skew symmetric} \\ 2 \sum_{l=2}^{L/2} c_{l-1} c_l + c_{L/2}^2, & \text{when } c \text{ is symmetric} \end{cases}$$

$$\sum_{l=3}^{L} c_{l-2} c_l = \begin{cases} 2 \sum_{l=3}^{L/2} c_{l-2} c_l - 2 c_{L/2-1} c_{L/2}, & \text{when } c \text{ is skew symmetric} \\ 2 \sum_{l=3}^{L/2} c_{l-2} c_l + 2 c_{L/2-1} c_{L/2}, & \text{when } c \text{ is symmetric} \end{cases}$$

When c is skew symmetric, the constraint is $\sum_{l=1}^{L/2} c_l^2 = \frac{1}{2}$ and using the identities above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{the inequality (18) holds} \\
\iff \quad & 93\sum_{l=1}^{L/2} c_l^2 - 4c_1^2 - 14(2\sum_{l=2}^{L/2} c_{l-1}c_l - c_{L/2}^2) - (\sum_{l=3}^{L/2} c_{l-2}c_l - c_{L/2-1}c_{L/2}) \geq 32 \\
\iff \quad & 8c_1^2 + 56\sum_{l=2}^{L/2} c_{l-1}c_l - 2c_{L/2-1}c_{L/2} - 28c_{L/2}^2 + 2\sum_{l=3}^{L/2} c_{l-2}c_l \leq 29.
\end{aligned}$$

The inequality above follows from the following argument:

$$\begin{aligned}
& 8c_1^2 + 56\sum_{l=2}^{L/2} c_{l-1}c_l - 2c_{L/2-1}c_{L/2} - 28c_{L/2}^2 + 2\sum_{l=3}^{L/2} c_{l-2}c_l \\
\leq \quad & 8c_1^2 + 28(2\sum_{l=1}^{L/2} c_l^2 - c_1^2 - c_{L/2}^2) - 2c_{L/2-1}c_{L/2} - 28c_{L/2}^2 + 2\sum_{l=1}^{L/2} c_l^2 - c_1^2 - c_2^2 - c_{L/2-1}^2 - c_{L/2}^2 \\
\leq \quad & 29 - (21c_1^2 + c_2^2 + c_{L/2-1}^2 + 57c_{L/2}^2 + 2c_{L/2-1}c_{L/2}) \\
\leq \quad & 29.
\end{aligned}$$

When c is symmetric, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{the inequality (18) holds} \\
\iff \quad & -93\sum_{l=1}^{L/2} c_l^2 + 4c_1^2 + 28\sum_{l=2}^{L/2} c_{l-1}c_l + 14c_{L/2}^2 + \sum_{l=3}^{L/2} c_{l-2}c_l + c_{L/2-1}c_{L/2} \leq -32.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& -93\sum_{l=1}^{L/2} c_l^2 + 4c_1^2 + 28\sum_{l=2}^{L/2} c_{l-1}c_l + 14c_{L/2}^2 + \sum_{l=3}^{L/2} c_{l-2}c_l + c_{L/2-1}c_{L/2} \\
\leq \quad & -93\sum_{l=1}^{L/2} c_l^2 + 4c_1^2 + 14(2\sum_{l=1}^{L/2} c_l^2 - c_1^2 - c_{L/2}^2) + 14c_{L/2}^2 \\
& +\sum_{l=1}^{L/2} c_l^2 - \frac{1}{2}(c_1^2 + c_2^2 + c_{L/2-1}^2 + c_{L/2}^2) + c_{L/2-1}c_{L/2} \\
\leq \quad & -64\sum_{l=1}^{L/2} c_l^2 - 10c_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}(c_1^2 + c_2^2) - \frac{1}{2}(c_{L/2-1}^2 + c_{L/2}^2 - 2c_{L/2-1}c_{L/2}) \\
\leq \quad & -64\sum_{l=1}^{L/2} c_l^2,
\end{aligned}$$

it is enough to show that $\sum_{l=1}^{L/2} c_l^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}$ with the constraint (7), and we have already shown the result in Theorem 4 when L is a power of 2.

Case 2: Now, assume L is odd and let $L = 2u+1$. In the following computations, we the results below:

$$\sum_{1\le l<m\le L} c_l c_m = \begin{cases} -\sum_{l=1}^{u} c_l^2, & \text{when } c \text{ is skew symmetric}\\ \sum_{l=1}^{u} c_l^2 + 4\sum_{1\le l<m\le u} c_l c_m + 2c_{u+1}\sum_{l=1}^{u} c_l, & \text{when } c \text{ is symmetric}\end{cases}$$

$$\sum_{l=2}^{L} c_{l-1}c_l = \begin{cases} 2\sum_{l=2}^{u} c_{l-1}c_l, & \text{when } c \text{ is skew symmetric}\\ 2\sum_{l=2}^{u+1} c_{l-1}c_l, & \text{when } c \text{ is symmetric}\end{cases}$$

$$\sum_{l=3}^{L} c_{l-2}c_l = \begin{cases} 2\sum_{l=3}^{u} c_{l-2}c_l - c_u^2, & \text{when } c \text{ is skew symmetric}\\ 2\sum_{l=3}^{u+1} c_{l-2}c_l + c_u^2, & \text{when } c \text{ is symmetric}\end{cases}$$

When c is skew symmetric, the constraint is $\sum_{l=1}^{u} c_l^2 = \frac{1}{2}$ and the inequality (18) is equivalent to

$$-93c_{u+1}^2 + 8c_1^2 + 56\sum_{l=2}^{u} c_{l-1}c_l + 2\sum_{l=3}^{u} c_{l-2}c_l - c_u^2 \le 29,$$

which holds with the constraint since

$$\begin{aligned} & -93c_{u+1}^2 + 8c_1^2 + 56\sum_{l=2}^{u} c_{l-1}c_l + 2\sum_{l=3}^{u} c_{l-2}c_l - c_u^2 \\ \le\ & -93c_{u+1}^2 + 8c_1^2 + 28(2\sum_{l=1}^{u} c_l^2 - c_1^2 - c_u^2) + 2\sum_{l=1}^{u} c_l^2 - (c_1^2 + c_2^2 + c_{u-1}^2 + c_u^2) - c_u^2 \\ \le\ & 29 - (21c_1^2 + c_2^2 + c_{u-1}^2 + 30c_u^2 + 93c_{u+1}^2) \\ \le\ & 29. \end{aligned}$$

When c is symmetric, the inequality (18) holds *if and only if*

$$-186\sum_{l=1}^{u} c_l^2 - 93c_{u+1}^2 + 8c_1^2 + 56\sum_{l=2}^{u+1} c_{l-1}c_l + 2\sum_{l=3}^{u+1} c_{l-2}c_l + c_u^2 \le -64.$$

Moreover, since

$$\begin{aligned} & -186\sum_{l=1}^{u} c_l^2 - 93c_{u+1}^2 + 8c_1^2 + 56\sum_{l=2}^{u+1} c_{l-1}c_l + 2\sum_{l=3}^{u+1} c_{l-2}c_l + c_u^2 \\ \le\ & -186\sum_{l=1}^{u} c_l^2 - 93c_{u+1}^2 + 8c_1^2 + 28(2\sum_{l=1}^{u+1} c_l^2 - c_1^2 - c_{u+1}^2) + 2\sum_{l=1}^{u+1} c_l^2 - (c_1^2 + c_2^2 + c_u^2 + c_{u+1}^2) + c_u^2 \\ \le\ & -128\sum_{l=1}^{u} c_l^2 - 64c_{u+1}^2 - 21c_1^2 - c_2^2 \\ \le\ & -128\sum_{l=1}^{u} c_l^2 - 64c_{u+1}^2, \end{aligned}$$

it is enough to show that $\sum_{l=1}^{u} c_l^2 + \frac{1}{2}c_{u+1}^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}$, and we have already proved it in Theorem 4 when L is odd.

Case 3: In the case $L = 2^p q$, where $q > 1$ is odd, the result follows directly from the third case in Theorem 4, since the inequality $\sum_{l=1}^{L/2} c_l^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}$ to show is same in each first case of of both Theorem 4 and this theorem. $\square$

References

[1] A. Cantoni, *Eigenvalues and eigenvectors of symmetric centrosymmetric matrices*, Linear Algebra and its Applications, Volume 13, Issue 3, 1976, Pages 275-288.

[2] A. Greenbaum and M. Chen, *Analysis of an aggregation-based algebraic multigrid method on matrices related to 1D model problem*, preprint.